



# Learning from Nicola

Hull Safeguarding Adults Partnership Board (HSAPB)



## REVIEW CONTEXT

Nicola died by suicide while facing multi-layered challenges including domestic abuse and alcohol dependency. This "Line of Sight" review prioritises collective improvement. It highlights that the system lacked a **holistic assessment of risk** and a **single coordination point**.



## Mental Capacity & Executive Function

Capacity must be decision-specific. The review identified a critical gap in 'Executive Function'—where an individual can describe a safe plan but cannot execute it in high-risk moments. Professional judgements were often based on assumptions rather than clear evidence-based application of the Mental Capacity Act.

### ✓ PRACTICE FOCUS

*"Use chronologies to identify patterns of fluctuating capacity. Do not rely on single assessments during crises. Evidence the 'how' and 'why' behind decisions."*



## The Lead Agency Mandate

While individual agencies often provided high-quality care, the lack of a 'Lead Agency' meant no one had the holistic overview required to synthesise risks. This led to reactive coordination. Without a central coordinator, the cumulative danger of domestic abuse, mental health struggles, and addiction was lost.

### ✓ PRACTICE FOCUS

*"Agree a lead professional at the earliest multi agency meeting. This lead must coordinate the collective response and ensure risk management is consistent."*



## Persistent & Flexible Engagement

Traditional models often fail those in crisis. 'Non-engagement' should be viewed as a symptom of trauma or fluctuating capacity, not a reason for case closure. Rigid service boundaries and 'three-strike' policies penalise the most vulnerable individuals who may be unable to comply with standard routes.

### ✓ PRACTICE FOCUS

*"Employ assertive, trauma-informed outreach. Adopt 'persistent engagement' strategies that co-produce support around the individual's current reality and barriers."*



## Professional Barriers & Vulnerability

Individuals in high-pressure or 'protective' professional roles face unique barriers. There is often a profound fear that disclosing domestic abuse or mental health needs will impact professional standing. This perception prevents individuals from accessing support systems that are technically available.

### ✓ PRACTICE FOCUS

*"Recognise 'Professional Vulnerability.' Ensure support routes are confidential and emphasise that workplace safety is a supportive priority, not a disciplinary threat."*

### STRATEGIC MULTI-AGENCY THEMES



#### THINK FAMILY & COMMUNITY

Safeguarding extends beyond the individual. Carer's assessments and wider family needs must be proactively considered.



#### INTEGRATED PROTOCOLS

Clearer protocols between health and response agencies are required to manage high-risk individuals leaving hospital settings.

## Q TEAM REFLECTIVE QUESTIONS

- 1 "Do I understand 'verbal' vs 'executive' capacity in my current cases?"
- 2 "Am I triggering multi-agency meetings early enough when risks overlap?"
- 3 "Have I explored trauma-informed alternatives before closing for non-engagement?"
- 4 "How am I supporting the wider family unit or carers when an adult is at risk?"
- 5 "Am I documenting decision-specific capacity clearly in every assessment?"
- 6 "Is the 'Lead Agency' for my most complex cases explicitly agreed and recorded?"